

# Strong solutions of semilinear SPDEs with unbounded diffusion<sup>1</sup>

Florian Bechtold

LPSM – Sorbonne Université

Les probabilités de demain  
14/06/2019 Université Paris Diderot



<sup>1</sup>This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 754362.

# SPDEs as infinite dimensional SDEs

For  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  the stochastic differential equation

$$\begin{cases} dX_t &= aX_t dt + bX_t dW_t \\ X_0 &= x \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

admits a unique solution (geometric Brownian motion), which satisfies moreover the equation

$$X_t = e^{at} x + \int_0^t e^{a(t-s)} b X_s dW_s$$

To consider the problem in infinite dimensions, do the replacements

Real number $a$		Linear (unbounded) operator $A$
Exponential of real number $a$		Semigroup $(S_t)_t$ generated by $A$
Real number $b$		Nonlinear bounded operator $B$
Brownian motion		Cylindrical Brownian motion

# Cylindrical Brownian motion and stochastic integration in infinite dimensions

Formally, for a Hilbert space  $U$  with ONB  $(e_k)_k$ , set for a sequence  $(\beta^k)_k$  of independent Brownian motions as cylindrical Brownian motion

$$W_t := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e_k \beta_t^k \in U$$

Problem: This is not an element of  $L^2(\Omega, U)$ .

Suppose for  $B \in L(U, H)$  and for an ONB  $(f_k)_k$  of  $H$ , there exists  $(\lambda_k)_k \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$Bu = \sum_k^{\infty} \lambda_k f_k \langle u, e_k \rangle$$

Now if  $(\lambda_k)_k \in \ell^2$ , then  $B \in L_2(U, H)$  and

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\int_0^t B dW_s\|_H^2] = \mathbb{E}[\|\sum_k \lambda_k f_k \beta_t^k\|_H^2] = \sum_k \lambda_k^2 t < \infty$$

# The mild formulation for semilinear SPDEs

## Definition (Mild solution)

Let  $H$  be Hilbert,  $A : D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$  be generator of a strongly continuous semigroup  $(S_t)_{t \leq T}$  of operators and  $B : H \rightarrow L_2(U, H)$ , let  $W$  be a cylindrical Wiener process on  $U$ . Then a progressively measurable process  $(u_t)_{t \leq T}$  with values in  $H$  is called mild solution to

$$du_t = Au_t dt + B(u_t) dW_t, \quad u_0 = \xi \in H$$

if  $\mathbb{P}$ -almost surely for all  $t \leq T$

$$u_t = \underbrace{S_t \xi + \int_0^t S_{t-s} B(u_s) dW_s}_{=:(\mathcal{K}(u))_t}$$

holds as an equality in  $H$ .

# The key tool: A maximal inequality

## Lemma (Maximal inequality for stochastic convolutions)

Let  $H$  be Hilbert,  $u \in L^p(\Omega, L^\infty([0, T], H))$ , let  $B : H \rightarrow L_2(U, H)$ , let  $W$  be a  $U$ -cylindrical Brownian motion, then for all contraction semi groups  $S$  on  $H$  one has

$$\mathbb{E}[\sup_{t \leq T} \|\int_0^t S_{t-s} B(u_s) dW_s\|_H^p] \leq CT^{p/2} \mathbb{E}[\sup_{t \leq T} \|B(u_s)\|_{L_2(U, H)}^p].$$

## Corollary (Existence and uniqueness of mild solutions)

If  $B : H \rightarrow L_2(U, H)$  is Lipschitz and of linear growth, then

$$\mathcal{K} : L^p(\Omega, L^\infty([0, T], H)) \rightarrow L^p(\Omega, L^\infty([0, T], H))$$

admits a unique fixed point for  $T$  sufficiently small, i.e. the corresponding SPDE admits a unique mild solution.

## Our problem

It is natural to ask, if one can also consider unbounded diffusions, i.e. problems of the form

$$\begin{cases} du &= \Delta u dt + (-\Delta)^{\delta/2} B(u_t) dW_t \\ u(0) &= u_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^N) \end{cases}$$

for  $\delta \in [0, 1)$ . The corresponding mild formulation becomes

$$u_t = S_t u_0 + \int_0^t (-\Delta)^{\delta/2} S_{t-s} B(u_s) dW_t$$

Can one replace the maximal inequality for stochastic convolutions with something more general, adapted to this context?

# A maximal inequality and mild solutions for $\delta \in [0, 1)$

## Theorem (A maximal inequality)

Let  $H$  be Hilbert. Let  $A : D(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$  be generator of a strongly continuous contraction semi-group of operators  $(S_t)_{t \leq T}$ . Let  $B : H \rightarrow L_2(U, H)$  satisfy

$$\|B(z)\|_{L_2(U, H)}^2 \leq C(1 + \|z\|_H^2).$$

Let  $W$  be a cylindrical Wiener process on  $U$ . Let  $\delta \in [0, 1)$ ,  $T > 0$ . Then for  $p > \frac{2}{1-\delta}$  and every progressively measurable  $u \in L^p(\Omega, L^\infty([0, T], H))$  there holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{t \leq T} \left\| \int_0^t (-A)^{\delta/2} S_{t-s} B(u_s) dW_s \right\|_H^p \right] \\ & \leq C_{\delta, p} T^{\frac{p}{2}(1-\delta)} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{t \leq T} \|B(u_t)\|_{L_2(U, H)}^p \right] \end{aligned}$$

and the associated convolution process is continuous.

# A maximal inequality and mild solutions for $\delta \in [0, 1)$

## Theorem (General existence theorem)

Let  $H$  be Hilbert. Let  $B : H \rightarrow L_2(U, H)$  be Lipschitz continuous, satisfying the growth condition previously stated. Then for  $\delta \in [0, 1)$  and  $p > \frac{2}{1-\delta}$  the SPDE

$$du_t = \Delta u_t dt + (-\Delta)^{\delta/2} B(u_t) dW_t \quad u(0) = u_0 \in H$$

admits a unique mild solution, meaning there exists a unique progressively measurable process  $u \in L^p(\Omega, L^\infty([0, T], H))$  such that

$$u_t = \underbrace{S_t u_0 + \int_0^t (-\Delta)^{\delta/2} S_{t-s} B(u_s) dW_s}_{=:(\mathcal{K}(u))_t}$$

which is  $\mathbb{P}$ -almost surely continuous in time.



## A maximal inequality and mild solutions for $\delta \in [0, 1)$

Idea of the proof:

Use Banach fixed-point theorem in the space

$Z_H := L^p(\Omega, L^\infty([0, T], H))$  exploiting the maximal inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{K}(u) - \mathcal{K}(v)\|_{Z_H}^p &= \mathbb{E}[\sup_{s \leq T} \|\int_0^s (-\Delta)^{\delta/2} S_{s-r} B(u_r) - B(v_r) dW_r\|_H^p] \\ &\leq C_{\delta,p} T^{p/2(1-\delta)} \mathbb{E}[\sup_{s \leq t} \|B(u_s) - B(v_s)\|_{L_2(U,H)}^p] \\ &\leq C_{\delta,p} T^{p/2(1-\delta)} \mathbb{E}[\sup_{s \leq t} \|u_s - v_s\|_H^p] \\ &= C_{\delta,p} T^{p/2(1-\delta)} \|u - v\|_{Z_H}^p\end{aligned}$$

For  $\delta < 1$ , one can choose  $T$  sufficiently small for  $\mathcal{K}$  to become a contraction.

## Nemytskii operators and strong solutions for $\delta \in [0, 1)$

While the previous theorem works for infinite dimensional noise, we restrict ourselves in the following to finite dimension noise, i.e.  $U$  is of finite dimension, for some basis  $(e_i)_{e \leq d}$  we have

$$B(u_s)dW_s = \sum_{i=1}^d B_i(u_s)dW_s^i \left( = \sum_{i=1}^d B_i(u_s)\langle dW_s, e_i \rangle \right)$$

The question thus becomes: under which conditions on the functions  $(B_i)_{i \leq d}$  does the associated Nemytskii operator have the required properties, more precisely:

Given the Hilbert space  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^N)$ , under what conditions on a real valued function  $B_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the operator

$$B : L^2(\mathbb{T}^N) \rightarrow L_2(U, L^2(\mathbb{T}^N))$$
$$z \rightarrow \left( u \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^d B_i(z)\langle u, e_i \rangle \right)$$

Lipschitz and satisfying the growth condition?

# Nemytskii operators and strong solutions for $\delta \in [0, 1)$

## Lemma (A Nemytskii operator type result for $L^2(\mathbb{T}^N)$ )

Let  $U$  be a  $d$ -dimensional Hilbert space with ONB  $(e_i)_{i \leq d}$ . Let  $B_1, \dots, B_d \in C^1(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$  be of bounded derivative and satisfy the growth condition

$$\sum_{i=1}^d |B_i(\xi)|^2 \leq C(1 + |\xi|^2)$$

Then the associated Nemytskii operator is well defined, Lipschitz and satisfies the growth condition

$$\|B(z)\|_{L^2(U, L^2(\mathbb{T}^N))}^2 \leq C(1 + \|z\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^N)}^2).$$

# Nemytskii operators and strong solutions for $\delta \in [0, 1)$

Problem in passing from Lebesgue to Sobolev spaces:  
Nemytskii operators lose Lipschitz continuity.

Yet, the growth condition can be preserved, which is sufficient

- Picard iterations uniformly bounded (geometric series for  $T$  small)
- Extraction of a weak- $*$ -convergent subsequence (in Sobolev space)
- Argue via uniqueness of limit

Thanks for your attention!

## Starting point

In her first publication, Hofmanova considered a class of problems comprising in particular

$$\begin{cases} du &= \Delta u dt + \operatorname{div} F(u) dt + \sum_{k=1}^d B_k(u) dW_t^k \\ u(0) &= u_0 \end{cases}$$

By using the mild formulation, she can

- Control the divergence by the smoothing of the semigroup
- Establish regularity in space of the unique solution thanks to the Sobolev embedding theorem (requires the theory of stochastic integration in 2-smooth Banach spaces)

## Hofmanova's setting

The mild formulation corresponding to Hofmanova's problem

$$du = \Delta u_t dt + \operatorname{div} F(u_t) dt + B(u_t) dW_t \quad u_0 = \xi$$

is given by

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= S_t \xi + \int_0^t S_{t-s} \operatorname{div} F(u_s) ds + \int_0^t S_{t-s} B(u_s) dW_s \\ &= S_t \xi + \int_0^t (-\Delta)^{1/2} S_{t-s} (-\Delta)^{-1/2} \operatorname{div} F(u_s) ds + \int_0^t S_{t-s} B(u_s) dW_s \end{aligned}$$

Key idea in Hofmanova's paper to deal with Bochner integral:

- $\|(-\Delta)^{1/2} S_{t-s}\| \leq C \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}}$
- $(-\Delta)^{-1/2} \operatorname{div} F(\cdot)$  is a bounded operator
- Use triangle inequality, get control via time horizon  $T$  ( $\rightarrow$  think contraction for fixed point argument)

## A maximal inequality and mild solutions for $\delta \in [0, 1)$

Idea of the proof:

Use factorization method by Da Prato, Zabczyk, Kwapien exploiting the identity

$$\int_{\sigma}^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (s-\sigma)^{-\alpha} ds = \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi \alpha}$$

for  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , one has due to Fubini's theorem

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t (-A)^{\delta/2} S_{t-s} B(u_s) dW_s \\ &= \frac{\sin \pi \alpha}{\pi} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (-A)^{\delta/2} S_{t-s} \underbrace{\left( \int_0^s (s-\sigma)^{-\alpha} S_{s-\sigma} B(u_{\sigma}) dW_{\sigma} \right)}_{=: Y_s} ds \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, use the inequality

$$\|(-A)^{\delta/2} S_{t-s}\| \leq C \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\delta/2}}$$



## The limit case $\delta = 1$ : Heuristics

What about the limit case  $\delta = 1$ , i.e. problems of the form

$$\begin{cases} du &= \Delta u dt + (-\Delta)^{1/2} \sum_{k=1}^d B_k(u_t) dW_t^k \\ u(0) &= u_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^N) \end{cases}$$

Heuristically, looking at the problem in Fourier basis, the Laplacian makes us gain  $k^2$ , the noise potentially lose  $k$ , yet due to Itô Isometry, one has to square, so we lose potentially in order  $k^2$ . In order for the equation to still admit a solution, one needs to thus require that the non-linearities  $B_k$  are "small" in the following sense.

## The limit case $\delta = 1$ : Uniform bounds

In particular,  $(u^\delta)_\delta \subset L^2(\Omega \times [0, T], \mathbb{T}^N)$ , i.e. using Itô's formula

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_t\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^N)}^2 &= \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^N)}^2 - 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx ds + \text{Martingale} \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{T}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\delta/2} B_i(u_s)|^2 dx ds \end{aligned}$$

### Lemma

Let  $(B_k)_{k \leq d}$  be as before and satisfy additionally

$$\left( \sum_{k=1}^d \|(-\Delta)^{\delta/2} B_k(u)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^N)}^2 \right) \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^N)}^2$$

for  $C < 2$ . Then  $(u^\delta)_\delta$  is uniformly bounded in  $L^2(\Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^N)$

## The limit case $\delta = 1$ : Using Banach-Alaoglu

By Banach-Alaoglu, we conclude the existence of a weakly convergent subsequence in the reflexive Banach space  $L^2(\Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^N)$ . In what sense is now the original equation solved?

# The limit case $\delta = 1$ : Weak-mild solutions

## Definition (Weak-mild solutions)

We call  $u \in L^2(\Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^N)$  weak-mild solution to the problem

$$du = \Delta u dt + (-\Delta)^{1/2} B(u_t) dW_t \quad u(0) = u_0 \quad (1)$$

if  $\mathbb{P}$ -almost surely one has for all  $\xi \in D((-\Delta)^{1/2})$

$$\langle u_t, \xi \rangle = \langle S_t u_0, \xi \rangle + \int_0^t \langle (-\Delta)^{1/2} \xi, S_{t-s} B(u_s) dW_s \rangle$$

## Lemma

*The weak limit  $u \in L^2(\Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^N)$  obtained above satisfies (1) in the weak-mild sense given that  $B$  is of linear growth.*

## The limit case $\delta = 1$ : An application

In particular, one is able to apply the machinery presented to

$$\begin{cases} du &= \Delta u dt + \operatorname{div} \sigma(u_t) dW_t \\ u(0) &= u_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^N) \end{cases}$$

in order to construct weak-mild solution via the approximate problems

$$\begin{cases} du &= \Delta u dt + (-\Delta)^{\delta/2} \underbrace{(-\Delta)^{-1/2} \operatorname{div}(\sigma(u))}_{=: B(u_t)} dW_t^k \\ u(0) &= u_0 \in L^p(\mathbb{T}^N) \end{cases}$$